

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,291	11/26/2003	James R. Rosseau	GP-303187	5563
7590 07/08/2004			EXAM	INER
CHRISTOPHI	ER DEVRIES		NGUYEN	I, THU V
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			3661	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,291	ROSSEAU, JAMES R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thu Nguyen	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	November 2003.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer		0 🗆	(DTO 440)			
· <u></u>	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

福州研究。最近"自我的"自我的"自我的"。自我的"是我"。自我的自己的"自我的"最级"。自我的"有人"的"自我的"。自我的"自我的"。 第一章 Application/Control Number: 10/723,291

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okawa et al (US 5,591,906) in view of Sharp (US 5,569,848) and Jackson et al (US 6,237,234).

As per claim 1-3, Okawa teaches a system of determining tire pressure faults in a vehicle, the system comprises: determining the number of tire revolution of a first and second tire (col.4, lines 10-11); comparing the number of revolutions of the first and second tire using the ratio between the tires to determine if pressure fault has occurred (col.4, lines 10-26). Okawa does not suggest using distance value for the comparison. However, Okawa teaches using rotational angular velocity value (col.4, lines 10-11), further since Sharp teaches that it is well known to determine distance from the rotation angular speed using an odometer, and there is a close relation between the rotation angular speed and distance (col.4, lines 18-61), and Jackson teaches specific relationship between distance travel and the angular speed (col.4, lines 55-59), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the distance determined from the wheel rotational speed taught by Sharp and Jackson to determine the tire pressure abnormality of Okawa in order to use the same output

·推测是 法提供证据目标的推销。在特别是目标在证据

Application/Control Number: 10/723,291

Art Unit: 3661

distance determined from the odometer to check for the pressure fault of the wheels, since utilizing the distance determined from the number of pulses output from a sensor in a fixed amount of time, or utilizing the number of pulses outputted from the speed sensor as preferred by the designer requires only routine skill in the art.

As per claim 4-6, refer to claims 1-3 above.

As per claim 7, Okawa teaches couling the sensors to an ABS system (col.3, lines 63-67).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-7687 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/723,291

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 25, 2004